1		The Honorable David G. Estudillo	
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES D		
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA		
9	ORTHODOX CHURCH IN AMERICA,	NO. 2:25-cv-01537-DGE	
10	et al.,	STIPULATED MOTION TO	
11	Plaintiffs,	ENTER PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND FINAL	
12	V.	JUDGMENT	
13	ROBERT W. FERGUSON, et al.,	AND	
14	Defendants.	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
15		NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR: Friday, October 10, 2025	
16			
17	I. STIPULATION		
18	Plaintiffs Orthodox Church in America, Antiochian Orthodox Christian Archdiocese of		
19	North America, Romanian Orthodox Metropolia of the Americas, Western American Diocese		
20	of the Russian Orthodox Church Outside of Russia, and Timothy Wilkinson (Plaintiffs);		
21	Defendants Robert W. Ferguson and Nicholas W. Brown (State Defendants); and Defendants		
22	Leesa Manion, Preston McCollam, Joseph Brusic, Randy Flyckt, Curt Liedkie, Eric Eisinger,		
23	Robert Sealby, Mark Nichols, Tony Golik, Dale Slack, Ryan Jurvakainen, Sean Lewis, Michael		
24	Golden, Shawn Sant, Mathew Newberg, Kevin M	IcRae, Norma Tillotson, Gregory Banks, James	

Kennedy, Chad Enright, Gregory Zempel, David Quesnel, Jonathan Meyer, Ty Albertson,

Michael Dorcy, Albert Lin, Michael Rothman, Dolly Hunt, Mary Robnett, Amy Vira, Rich

Weyrich, Adam Kick, Jason Cummings, Erika George, Jon Tunheim, Dan Bigelow, Gabe Acosta, Eric Richey, and Denis Tracy, in their official capacities (County Prosecutor Defendants), jointly move the Court, pursuant to Local Rule 7(d)(1) and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 54 and 65(d), to enter a Permanent Injunction and Final Judgment, subject to the parties' agreement to be bound by the terms of this Stipulation and Proposed Order, including Exhibit A attached thereto.¹

On June 16, 2025, Plaintiffs filed a Complaint (Dkt. # 1) in the Eastern District of Washington against the State Defendants and the County Prosecutor Defendants. The Complaint alleges that Section 26.44.030 of the Revised Code of Washington (RCW), as amended by Senate Bill 5375 (2025), violates the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution. On June 20, 2025, Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Preliminary Injunction against all defendants (Dkt. # 20).

On July 25, 2025, the Eastern District of Washington entered a stipulated order subjecting the County Prosecutor Defendants to a preliminary injunction and staying proceedings against the County Prosecutor Defendants until entry of a final judgment (Dkt. # 175). As part of that stipulated order, the County Prosecutor Defendants agreed to "consent to the Court's final judgment against the remaining defendants and not to appeal any such judgment." Dkt. # 175 at 2.

On July 18, 2025, this Court entered a preliminary injunction against the State Defendants in the related case *Etienne v. Ferguson*, No. 3:25-cv-05461-DGE. *See* 2025 WL 2022101, at *3 (W.D. Wash. July 18, 2025). In that case, Catholic clergy also brought First and Fourteenth Amendment challenges to SB 5375. The Court ruled that the *Etienne* plaintiffs are likely to succeed on the merits of their Free Exercise Clause challenge, that "there are serious questions going to the merits" of their Church Autonomy Doctrine and Establishment Clause

¹ Since the complaint was filed, Dale Slack has been replaced by Jennine Christensen as Columbia County Prosecutor, and Kevin McCrae has been replaced by Brandon Guernsey as Grant County Prosecutor.

23

24

25

26

claims, and that the plaintiffs otherwise met the requirements for the issuance of a preliminary injunction. Accordingly, the Court enjoined the State Defendants "from enforcing SB 5375 as to the Sacrament of Confession against the Plaintiffs[.]" Etienne, 2025 WL 2022101, at *11.

On July 24, 2025, the day before oral argument on Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction, Plaintiffs and State Defendants agreed to the entry of a preliminary injunction in this case. Dkt. # 172. On July 25, 2025, the Eastern District of Washington entered a stipulated preliminary injunction enjoining State Defendants "from enforcing or attempting to enforce Senate Bill 5375 against the Plaintiffs in this action and their priests as to the Sacrament of Confession and other sacred confidences, until such time as final judgment is entered in this case." Dkt. # 176 at 3.

Plaintiffs and State Defendants then stipulated to transfer this case to this Court. Dkt. 177. Once in this Court, Plaintiffs moved to consolidate this case with *Etienne*. Dkt. # 185.

The stipulating parties seek to avoid the costs and burdens of further litigation and conclusively resolve this matter, including by making permanent the Court's Preliminary Injunction order (Dkt. # 176). Accordingly, the parties agree as follows:

- 1. Plaintiffs, the State Defendants, and the County Prosecutor Defendants stipulate to entry of the proposed Permanent Injunction attached hereto, enjoining all Defendants from: (1) enforcing RCW § 26.44.030 (2025), as applied to information communicated solely in the Sacrament of Confession, and to information communicated solely in other sacred confidences made to a clergy member in the clergy member's professional character for which disclosure is prohibited in the course of discipline by the church to which the clergy member belongs; and (2) enforcing RCW § 26.44.030(1)(b) to deny members of the clergy the benefit of any privileged communication exception provided in RCW § 5.60.060 that RCW § 26.44.030(1)(b) allows for other reporters.
- 2. State Defendants and County Prosecutor Defendants agree not to appeal the Preliminary Injunction order (Dkt. # 176), or to appeal from or otherwise challenge the

1	enforceability or validity of this Stipulated Peri	nanent Injunction Order and Final Judgment, or
2	any other rulings entered to date in this matter b	by this Court, in this or any other proceeding.
3	3. State Defendants and County	Prosecutor Defendants agree that the Court's
4	Stipulated Permanent Injunction Order and Fina	al Judgment in this matter has the same force and
5	precedential effect as if it had been entered follo	owing a dispositive motion or trial on the merits.
6	4. All stipulating parties agree that	at they will not seek costs and attorneys' fees
7	against any other stipulating party.	
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED by and betwee	n the Parties.
9	I certify that this memorandum contains	s 854 words, in compliance with the Local Civil
10	Rules.	
11	DATED this 10th day of October 2025.	
12	NICHOLAS W. BROWN	ALLIANCE DEFENDING FREEDOM
13	Attorney General	
14	<u>/s/ Emma Grunberg</u> ALICIA O. YOUNG, WSBA #35553	/s/ Katherine L. Anderson Kristin K. Waggoner, WSBA #27790
15	KELLY A. PARADIS, WSBA #47175 EMMA GRUNBERG, WSBA #54659	Katherine L. Anderson, WSBA #41707 ALLIANCE DEFENDING FREEDOM
16	Deputy Solicitors General 1125 Washington Street SE	15100 N. 90th Street Scottsdale, AZ 85260
17	P.O. Box 40100 Olympia, WA 98504-0100	480-444-0020 Kwaggoner@ADFlegal.org
18	360-753-6200	Kanderson@ADFlegal.org
19	Alicia.Young@atg.wa.gov Kelly.Paradis@atg.wa.gov	George M. Ahrend, WSBA #25160
	Emma.Grunberg@atg.wa.gov	AHREND LAW FIRM 818 W. Riverside Ave., Suite 450
20	Attorneys for Defendants Robert W. Ferguson and Nicholas W. Brown	Spokane, WA 99201 206-467-6090
21		George@luveralawfirm.com
22	LEESA MANION King County Prosecuting Attorney	Eric Kniffin* CO Bar No. 48016
23		KNIFFIN LAW PLLC
24	/s/ Heidi Jacobsen-Watts Heidi Jacobsen-Watts, WSBA #35549	102 S. Tejon Street, Suite 1100 Colorado Springs, CO 80903
25	Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney 701 Fifth Ave., Suite 600	719-212-4391 Eric@kniffin.law
26	Seattle, WA 98104 206-477-1120	

1	Heidi.jacobsen-watts@kingcounty.gov	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Antiochian Orthodox
2	Attorney for Defendant Leesa Manion	Christian Archdiocese of North America, Orthodox Church in America, Romanian
3		Orthodox Metropolia of the Americas, Western American Diocese of the Russian
4	JASON CUMMINGS Snohomish County Prosecuting Attorney	Orthodox Church Outside of Russia, Timothy Wilkinson
5	<u>/s/ Geoffrey A. Enns</u> GEOFFREY A. ENNS, WSBA #40682	*Pro hac vice admission pending
6	Deputy Prosecuting Attorney 3000 Rockefeller Ave. M/S 504	
7	Everett, WA 98201 425-388-6330	
8	Geoff.Enns@co.snohomish.wa.us	
9	Attorneys for Defendants Preston McCollam, Joseph Brusic, Randy Flyckt, Eric Eisinger,	
10	Robert Sealby, Mark Nichols, Ryan Jurvakainen, Sean Lewis, Michael Golden,	
11	Shawn Sant, Norma Tillotson, Gregory Banks, Gregory Zempel, David Quesnel,	
12	Jonathan Meyer, Michael Dorcy, Albert Lin, Michael Rothman, Dolly Hunt, Mary	
13	Robnett, Amy Vira, Jason Cummings, Jon Tunheim, Dan Bigelow, Gabe Acosta, and	
14	Eric Richey	
15	CHAD M. ENRIGHT	
16	Kitsap County Prosecuting Attorney	
17	/s/ Anna K. Aruiza ANNA K. ARUIZA, WSBA #39663 Senior Deputy Proceeding Attorney	
18	Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney 614 Division St. MS-35A Port Orchard, WA 98366-4676	
19	360-337-4992 Aaruiza@kitsap.gov	
20	Attorney for Defendant Chad Enright	
21	money for Defendant Chad Entight	
22	TONY GOLIK Clark County Prosecuting Attorney	
23	/s/ Amanda Migchelbrink	
24	AMANDA MIGCHELBRINK, WSBA #34223 Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney	
25	1300 Franklin St., Suite 380 Vancouver, WA 98666-5000	
26	564-397-2478 Amanda.Migchelbrink@clark.wa.gov	

1	
2	Attorney for Defendant Tony Golik EVANS, CRAVEN & LACKIE, P.S.
3	
4	<u>/s/ Rachel K. Stanley</u> Rachel K. Stanley, WSBA #58280
5	Attorney at Law 818 Riverside, Suite 250
6	Spokane, WA 99201-0910 509-455-5200
7	Rstanley@ecl-law.com
8	Attorney for Defendants Curt Liedike, Jennine Christensen, Mathew Newburg,
9	Brandon Guernsey, Ty Albertson, Adam Kick, Erika George, and Denis Tracy
10	
11	JAMES KENNEDY Jefferson County Prosecuting Attorney
12	/s/ Philip C. Hunsucker PHILIP C. HUNSUCKER, WSBA #48692
13	Chief Civil Deputy Prosecuting Attorney 1820 Jefferson Street/P.O. Box 1220
14	Port Townsend, WA 98368 360-385-9180
15	PHunsucker@co.jefferson.wa.us
16	Attorney for Defendant James Kennedy
17	DICHARD E WEYDICH
18	RICHARD E. WEYRICH Skagit County Prosecuting Attorney
19	/s/ Frederick A. Haist FREDERICK A. HAIST, WSBA #48937
20	Deputy Prosecuting Attorney 700 S. 2nd Street, Ste. 300
21	Mount Vernon, WA 98273
22	360-416-1600 Fhaist@co.skagit.wa.us
23	Attorney for Defendant Richard Weyrich
24	
25	
26	
-	I .

25

26

[PROPOSED] ORDER

PURSUANT TO THE FOREGOING STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:

This matter is before the Court on the Stipulated Motion to Enter Permanent Injunction and Final Judgment. Having reviewed the Motion, the docket and all related material, and good cause appearing therefrom, the Court ORDERS as follows:

- 1. The Court finds that: (i) Plaintiffs have pre-enforcement standing and their claims satisfy the constitutional component of ripeness; (ii) Plaintiffs' claims are prudentially ripe; (iii) RCW § 26.44.030 (2025) infringes Plaintiffs' free exercise of religion in violation of the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution as applied to the Sacrament of Confession or other sacred confidences to which the privilege in RCW § 5.60.060(3) applies; and (iv) there are serious questions going to the merits of whether RCW § 26.44.030 (2025) infringes Plaintiffs' rights under the U.S. Constitution's Establishment Clause and the Church Autonomy Doctrine.
- 2. In light of the findings and legal conclusions set out in paragraph 1 above, State Defendants and County Prosecutor Defendants are hereby subject to and bound by Exhibit A to this Order.
- 3. Plaintiffs, State Defendants, and County Prosecutor Defendants will not appeal the Court's ruling on Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction (Dkt. # 176), and will not appeal from or otherwise challenge the enforceability or validity of the Court's Stipulated Permanent Injunction Order and Final Judgment, or any other rulings entered to date in this matter by this Court, in this or any other proceeding.
- This Stipulated Permanent Injunction Order and Final Judgment has the same force and precedential effect as if it had been entered following a dispositive motion or trial on the merits.
- 5. All parties are responsible for their own attorneys' fees and costs, and shall not be entitled to attorneys' fees and/or costs from any other party.

Page 8 of 11

1	JASON CUMMINGS Snahomich County Proceeding Attorney
2	Snohomish County Prosecuting Attorney
3	<u>/s/ Geoffrey A. Enns</u> GEOFFREY A. ENNS, WSBA #40682
4	Deputy Prosecuting Attorney 3000 Rockefeller Ave. M/S 504
5	Everett, WA 98201 425-388-6330
6	Geoff.Enns@co.snohomish.wa.us
	Attorneys for Defendants Preston McCollam,
7	Joseph Brusic, Randy Flyckt, Eric Eisinger, Robert Sealby, Mark Nichols, Ryan
8	Jurvakainen, Sean Lewis, Michael Golden, Shawn Sant, Norma Tillotson, Gregory
9	Banks, Gregory Zempel, David Quesnel, Jonathan Meyer, Michael Dorcy, Albert Lin,
10	Michael Rothman, Dolly Hunt, Mary
11	Robnett, Amy Vira, Jason Cummings, Jon Tunheim, Dan Bigelow, Gabe Acosta, and
12	Eric Richey
13	CHAD M. ENRIGHT
14	Kitsap County Prosecuting Attorney
15	/s/Anna K. Aruiza ANNA K. ARUIZA, WSBA #39663
16	Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney 614 Division St. MS-35A
	Port Orchard, WA 98366-4676
17	360-337-4992 Aaruiza@kitsap.gov
18	Attorney for Defendant Chad Enright
19	
20	TONY GOLIK Clark County Prosecuting Attorney
21	
22	<u>/s/ Amanda Migchelbrink</u> AMANDA MIGCHELBRINK, WSBA #34223
23	Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney 1300 Franklin St., Suite 380
24	Vancouver, WA 98666-5000 564-397-2478
25	Amanda.Migchelbrink@clark.wa.gov
26	Attorney for Defendant Tony Golik EVANS, CRAVEN & LACKIE, P.S.

25

26

360-753-6200

EXHIBIT A

Pursuant to the Stipulated Motion of all Plaintiffs and all Defendants, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants and their agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, are permanently enjoined, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65, from enforcing or attempting to enforce RCW § 26.44.030 (2025) as applied to information communicated solely in the Sacrament of Confession, and to information communicated solely in other sacred confidences made to a clergy member in the clergy member's professional character for which disclosure is prohibited in the course of discipline by the church to which the clergy member belongs.

It is further hereby ordered that Defendants and their agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, are permanently enjoined, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65, from enforcing RCW § 26.44.030(1)(b) (2025) to deny members of the clergy the benefit of any privileged communication exception provided in RCW § 5.60.060 that RCW § 26.44.030(1)(b) allows for other reporters.

__